





IACUC	
IBC	
IRB BIOSECURITY RA	
RI COMPLIANCE REGULATORY	

Three I's: Biosecurity and Research Integrity™: Promoting the Responsible Conduct of Research, Partnership, Ethics, Best Practices and the Exploration of Current Trends

Day 3 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 30, 2025

CONFERENCE AGENDA

7:30 AM - 9:00 AM	BREAKFAST NETWORK WELCOME TO OUR FINAL DAY!				
9:00 AM – 9:45 AM	THREE I's SESSION				
FBI WMD	BIOSECURITY TBA FBI				
9:50 AM – 10:50 AM	WHEN YOU WORK WITH A JERK: UNPRO	FESSIONALISM AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY			
THREE IS	ROBIN S TYNDALL, MS DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH COMPLIANCE RESEARCH INTEGRITY OFFICER CHAIR, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY COMMISSION ON ACCESSIBILITY CLEMSON UNIVERSITY The narrow federal definition of Research MisconductFabrication/Falsification/Plagiarismdoes not account for all sorts of other bad practices, including unprofessional conduct, which can include but is not limited to harassment, bullying, etc. This presentation and discussion will include how professional misconduct is defined, how these behaviors can be intertwined with research integrity investigations, how to prevent and report misconduct in all forms, and the federal reporting requirements for these investigations.				
10:50 AM – 11:00 AM	BREAK				
11:00 AM – 12:00 PM					
	IBC IRB UNIQUE CHALLENGES POSED BY DECENTRALIZED CLINICAL TRIALS INVOLVING BIOLOGICS DANIEL EISENMAN, PhD, RBP, SM(NRCM), CBSP EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BIOSAFETY SERVICES ADVARRO	ALL I'S RESEARCH INTEGRITY & RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION INVITED! SPOT THE ISSUES ETHICS CHALLENGE KATHRYN A HOLTHAUS, MS, MA DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH SUBJECTS PROTECTION AND LABORATORY SAFETY COMPLIANCE RESEARCH OPERATIONS BRIGHAM & WOMEN'S HOSPITAL			
	Decentralized clinical trial (DCT) modalities continue to transform the way we conduct clinical	TED MYATT, ScD ASSOCIATE VICE PROVOST OF RESEARCH INTEGRITY			

research. One surprising area: studies involving genetically modified biologics.

In this webinar, you'll find out what FDA says about DCTs and biologics, and what this means in practice. Dr. Daniel Eisenman provides a biosafety perspective on these types of studies, explaining the unique risks and important considerations for ensuring safe, responsible trial conduct.

Learning Objectives:

- 1. Describe key ways biologics research differs from small molecule research
- 2. Summarize what FDA guidance says about DCTs involving biologics
- 3. Discuss ways to address the unique challenge of biologics in DCTs

TUFTS UNIVERSITY

ROSS HICKEY, JD CIP CPIA

ASSISTANT PROVOST FOR RESEARCH INTEGRITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MAINE
DIRECTOR OF THE MAINE REGULATORY ETHICS AND
TRAINING CENTER (MERTEC) AT USM

FBI | TBA

12:00 PM - 12:45 PM

12:50 PM - 1:35 PM

LUNCH

THREE I SESSIONS

THREE I'S PAM OVERSIGHT FOR ALL FINDING COMMON VALUE

PANEL

IACUC DAVID LYONS

WAKE FOREST NC

IBC TED MYATT, ScD

ASSOCIATE VICE PROVOST OF RESEARCH INTEGRITY TUFTS UNIVERSITY

IRB

ALAN GOBLE, PhD ACUE

RESEARCH COMPLIANCE
OFFICER AND IRB
COORDINATOR
OFFICE OF RESEARCH
COMPLIANCE AND ETHICS
NORTH CAROLINA
AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL
STATE UNIVERSITY

BETWEEN SCYLLA AND CHARYBDIS: WORKING WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS IN A CHANGING WORLD OF VULNERABILITIES

CHRISTOPHER M. MANGELLI, JD, MS, MEd, CIP

ASSISTANT VICE PROVOST FOR RESEARCH (AVPR) OFFICE OF RESEARCH BALL STATE UNIVERSITY

What do you do when the world around you is changing faster than you can keep up with? What do you do when this is happening in the research and regulatory areas we are charged with managing? One of the greatest challenges IRBs and research administration staff handle are the protections for vulnerable populations. The regulations define these groups and IRBs have years of experience with the traditional "solutions". So what happens when these groups, and some that are not delineated in the regulations, become more vulnerable simply because of who and what they are? This session will look at the changing nature of what it means to be "vulnerable", what IRBs can do to help protect these groups, and what we as a community can do

CONFLICT MANAGEMENT SKILLS FOR RESEARCH COMPLIANCE AND INTEGRITY PROFESSIONALS

LLIAM HARRISON, MA, JD, CIP, C.MED

EDUCATION & RESEARCH
CONSULTING MANAGER
HURON

CAROL NEMEROFF, PhD

DEAN AND PROFESSOR
UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK
PRINCIPAL, AT THE MAINE
REGULATORY TRAINING AND
ETHICS CENTER (MERTEC)

Building and maintaining a culture of ethical behavior in the conduct of research is more than the right thing to do - it is the foundation of responsible conduct of research, of good science and of being a good community partner. Cutting ethical corners for expedience or in pursuit of research dollars or accolades is risky. Think of your institution's name under a headline of a multi-million-dollar False Claims Act settlement, or in twelve-foot-high letters on a screen before a jury, or in a paper retraction. Chances are that this is

in the future. This session will be not a situation you and your part interactive conversation in institution want to encounter. But an effort to develop some new the research enterprise is fraught best practices. with competing interests, giving rise to conflicting motivators. How can we respond to these divergent interests in a way that enhances rigor and integrity of our science while mitigating risk? One pathway to this balancing point is through the application of conflict management techniques in building an ethical culture of compliance. Whether by giving stakeholders (and rights-holders) a voice in the process, engaging cold cognition rather than responding to emotionally driven decision making, competing interests can be clearly framed and measured against institutional core values, legal and regulatory requirements, with the result that ethical conduct becomes ingrained as an institutional priority and, ultimately, a reality. Learning Objectives: 1. Recognize that conflict (distinct from conflict of interest) is not inherently a threat, and can be the basis for growth, collaboration, and the fostering of a culture of integrity and compliance. 2. Understand the difference between conflict and dispute and the role of interests versus positions in conflict.

1:40 PM - 2:25 PM	RESEARCH COMPLIANCE THREE I'S Case Studies IACUC SALLY THOMPSON-IRITANI, DVM, PHD AVP, ANIMAL CARE, OUTREACH, & 3RS UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON IRB CHRISTOPHER M. MANGELLI, JD, MS, MEd, CIP ASSISTANT VICE PROVOST FOR RESEARCH (AVPR) OFFICE OF RESEARCH BALL STATE UNIVERSITY IBC JENORA T WATERMAN, PHD DIRECTOR/CHAIR, APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PH.D. PROGRAM ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY NORTH CAROLINA AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL STATE UNIVERSITY	IT'S NOT YOU, IT'S US: BUILDING TRUST BETWEEN RESEARCH COMPLIANCE JOHN R. BAUMANN, PH.D. ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT RESEARCH COMPLIANCE INDIANA UNIVERSITY It seems that virtually every research compliance conference has a session titled something along the lines of: dealing with the difficult researcher. What doesn't seem to exist, however, are sessions entitled: Dealing with the Difficult Research Compliance Office. In this session, panel members will discuss their experiences in dealing with "difficult' RC offices, committees, and staff. The focus will be on identifying areas of such difficulties, assessing to what extent their root cause lies in policy, process, or personnel, and exploring efforts by RC leaders to eliminate, reduce, minimize such difficulties	CONFLICT MANAGEMENT SKILLS FOR RESEARCH COMPLIANCE AND INTEGRITY PROFESSIONALS CONTINUED
	STATE GIVINENSTIT	minimize such difficulties.	
2:30 PM – 2:40 PM	BREAK		
2:45 PM – 3:30 PM			
	FBI WMD		
3:35 PM	EVALUATIONS & CLOSING REMARKS SEE YOU IN 2026!		